Action Plan Projected Completion Date: continual process	Leader: Marilyn King
	Team Members: Sarah Hays, CLT members

Strategic Objective (SO): 1.01 The Proficient Plus (P+) Concept will be outlined and communicated with the BPS Education Team and the community.

Evaluation Plan: (Describe steps you'll take to determine if you've reached your strategic objective.) Do we have a CLT process that the focus group feels is functioning? Are we in alignment with our curriculum improvement sequence?

Best Practice Investigation: (What information is uncovered looking at best practice in relation to your strategic objective?) Many schools/district across the US have well developed standards-based curriculum and assessment. There is considerable guidance from the OPI being developed by curriculum specialists. The CRT is a standards-based assessment that is useful in aligning Bozeman standards in reading, math and science.

	Action Steps	Who?	Timeframe
What actions v	will be taken to achieve this SO? Include what staff	Who will be responsible for	What is a realistic timeframe
may need to le	arn to accomplish this SO.	what actions?	for each action?
1. Examine s	tructure and function of CLT process	Curriculum Director, CLT members	1. Within 12 mos. following initiation of the CLT
2. Create con	tent area action plans after CLT process and	2. CLT members	2. Within 12 mos. following
Curriculun	Improvement Sequence are re-evaluated.		initiation of the CLT
3. Read/discu	iss current, relevant research, including instructional	3. CLT members	3. Within 12 mos. following
practice, no content are	ew strategies and longitudinal studies in the target ea.		initiation of the CLT
4. Read/discu	ass relevant aspects of Understanding by	4. CLT members	4-21. Within 18 mos.
Design/Scl	nooling by Design		following initiation of each
5. Review Mo	ontana Content and Process standards.	5. CLT members	CLT
6. Design vis	ion statement that aligns with the District's Big	6. CLT members with input from	
Audacious	Goal and the Long Range Strategic Plan – the vision	school staffs	
statement v	will undergo revision as CLT members, school staffs		
and Board	of Trustees provide input		
	ntent and process standards from other states known	7. CLT members with input from	
to have rec	ently designed and implemented standards-based	school staffs	

Updated 11/9/2010 Page 1

- curriculum in the content area particular interest s/b paid to those districts/states who have utilized UbD or similarly formatted essential questions and understandings.
- 8. Review national standards, if available, and compare to state content/process standards.
- 9. Discuss and reach consensus on content/process strands for use in clustering essential learning expectations, learning goals, and specific proficiencies.
- 10. Obtain feedback from school staffs and modify as necessary.
- 11. Discuss and reach consensus on essential questions that encompass multiple grades/courses and within each content/process strand.
- 12. Obtain feedback from school staffs and modify as necessary.
- 13. Discuss and reach consensus on essential understandings and skills for each grade level and course in the content area.
- 14. Obtain feedback from school staffs and modify as necessary.
- 15. Using the identified essential understandings and skills, each grade band writes Essential Learning Expectations, Learning Goals, Specific Proficiencies and examples.
- 16. Obtain feedback from school staffs and modify as necessary.
- 17. Align Essential Learning Expectations, Learning Goals, Specific Proficiencies and examples with Montana Content and Process standard benchmarks, performance standards and CRT items (when available).
- 18. Obtain feedback from school staffs and modify as necessary.
- 19. Ensure vertical alignment of Essential Learning Expectations, Learning Goals, Specific Proficiencies and examples.
- 20. Seek Board of Trustees approval of the standards-based Curriculum Standards.
- 21. Professional development to support teacher understanding of revised standards.
- 22. Review wide variety of instructional materials using the Instructional Materials Evaluation form.
- 23. Recommend purchase of instructional materials and seek Board approval.
- 24. Professional development to support implementation of Board approved instructional materials

- 8. CLT members, principals
- 9. CLT members
- 10. CLT members, principals
- 11. CLT members
- 12. CLT members, principals
- 13. CLT members with input from school staffs
- 14. CLT members, principals
- 15. CLT members
- 16. CLT members, principals
- 17. CLT members
- 18. CLT members, Asst.
 Superintendent, Board of
 Trustees
- 19. CLT members, contracted provider
- 20. CLT members, principals, grade level/course teachers
- 21. CLT members, Asst. Superintendent
- 22. CLT members, contracted provider
- 23. CLT members, contracted provider
- 24. All teachers, principals

Updated 11/9/2010 Page 2

25. Design standards-based assessment using the essential	25. CLT members, contracted	
understandings and skills as the focal point and integrating	provider, Curriculum Director	
multi-modal formative and summative assessment strategies (i.e.		
selected response, open/constructed response, 6+1 Trait based		
writing).		
26. Pilot standards-based assessments and modify as necessary to	26. Curriculum office	
ensure content and process standards and assessments align		
closely.		25 5 1 671 1
27. Develop Report Card standards	27. CIA	27. Based on CIA Action
• •		Plan (1.04)

In a year, we hope to see the following progress on this strategic objective: Improvement Sequence. Report Card Standards in progress All curriculum work in line with the District's Curriculum

Updated 11/9/2010 Page 3